1	ERIC GRANT United States Attorney STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney		
2			
3	2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401		
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00083-JLT-SKO	
	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING	
12	v.	EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
13	PHILLIP PULIDO,	DATE: September 17, 2025	
14	Defendants.	TIME: 1:00 p.m.	
15		COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16	COUDIN ATION		
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on September 17, 2025.		
20 21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until		
	November 19, 2025, and to exclude time between September 17, 2025, and November 19, 2025, under		
22	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
24	a) The government has repres	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
25	includes reports, photographs, and audio files. All of this discovery has been either produced		
26	directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		
27	•	ires additional time to further review discovery, discuss	
28	,	e government, and investigate and prepare for trial.	
	potential resolution with her chefit and the	government, and investigate and prepare for that.	

- c) The parties have been in discussion about a potential resolution to this matter, and potential pre-trial litigation in the alternative. The parties believe that by the next status date, the case will either be resolved or the parties will be prepared to set a trial date and potential motion schedule.
 - d) The parties request a further status date due to the above factors.
- e) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - f) The government does not object to the continuance.
- g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 17, 2025 to November 19, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- i) The parties also agree that this continuance is necessary for several reasons, including but not limited to, the need to permit time for the parties to exchange supplemental discovery, engage in plea negotiations, and for the defense to continue its investigation and preparation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

3 || 1

Case 1:23-cr-00083-JLT-SKO Document 43 Filed 09/11/25 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4			
5	Dated: September 11, 2025 ERIG	CGRANT	
6		ed States Attorney	
7	/s/ S'	ГЕРНАNIE M. STOKMAN	
8	Assi	PHANIE M. STOKMAN stant United States Attorney	
9	9		
10	Batea: September 11, 2025	<u>HRISTINA CORCORAN</u> LISTINA CORCORAN	
11	1 1 11	nsel for Defendant	
12	12 PHII	LLIP PULIDO	
13	13		
14	14		
15	ORDER		
16	16		
17	The parties shall be prepared to select a mutually agreeable trial date at the November 19, 2025		
18	status conference.		
19	19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21	21		
22	Dated: <u>September 11, 2025</u> /s	/ Sheila K. Oberto	
23	UNITED	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	28		